TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: OR

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERA		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER		
	-application Construction Non-Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER 6 B04MC02389-01-01		
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION					
Legal Name: Department of Human Services		Organizational Unit: Office of Family Health			
Address (give city, county, state and z 800 N.E. Oregon Suite 850 Portland, OR 97232 County: Multnomah	MBER (EIN):	Name and telephone number of the person to be application (give area code) Name: Katherine Bradley, RN, PhD Tel Number: 971-673-0233 7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate lette A. State H. Independent School Distric	er in box) A		
9 3 6 0 0 1	7 5 2	B. County C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	of Higher Learning		
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION: New Continuation Revis	sion	9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administratio	n, Maternal and Child Health Bureau		
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increa Decrease Duration Other (specify):	in box(es)				
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMES NUMBER:	TIC ASSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROMCH Block Grant	DJECT:		
9 3 9 9 4 TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Se 12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT states, etc.): Statewide					
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:			
Start Date: Ending I 0/01/2005			. Project tatewide		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ 6,545,70 b. Applicant \$ 24,383,10 c. State \$ 24,383,10 d. Local \$ 6,545,70 e. Other \$ 5,862,90 f. Program Income \$ 36,791,70	09.00 a. YES, THIS PIORDER 1237 DATE: b. NO PRO PRO 23.00 0.00 17. IS THE APPL	TION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE REAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAITED FOR REVIEW ON DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON THE PROCESS FOR	ILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
	HORIZED BY THE GOVE	DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION A ERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE AP DED.			
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represe Katherine Bradley, RN, PhD	entative	b. Title Administrator, Office of Family Health	c. Telephone Number 971-673-0233		
d. Signature of Authorized Representa	ıtive	,	e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

MCH BUDGET	FORM 2 DETAILS FOR F	Y 2006							
[Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)]									
STATE: OR									
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	6,545,709					
A.Preventive and primary care for children:									
\$ 2,392,864 (36.56 %)									
B.Children with special health care needs:									
\$\frac{1,963,713}{(\frac{30\%})}(\frac{30\%})	ne application\[Sec. 505	(a)(3)]							
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	re application/[Sec. 505	(4)(3)]							
\$ 488,645 (7.47%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]									
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]									
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	0					
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	24,383,105					
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0					
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	5,862,923					
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	0					
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 3,950,427			\$	30,246,028					
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRAN (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	T PARTNERSH	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	36,791,737					
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration	of the Title V program)								
a. SPRANS:	\$	817,585							
b. SSDI:	\$	135,276							
c. CISS:	\$	0							
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	0							
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0							
f. EMSC:	\$	0							
g. WIC:	\$	18,078,777							
h. AIDS:	\$	0							
i. CDC:	\$	4,791,263							
i. Education:	\$	0							
k. Other:	*								
FP Waiver (CMS)	\$	21,601,320							
Title X Fam Planning	\$ <u></u>	2,377,495							
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds un	der item 9)		\$	47,801,716					
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	84,593,453					

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: CISS
Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CISS

Column Name: Year: 2006

Oregon has applied for the State Early Childhoods Systems grant renewal for 2005-2008. If fully approved, this CISS grant will be \$126,00 for the 1st year and \$140,000/year for following 2 years; totalling \$406,000.

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$6,484,811	\$6,484,811	\$6,579,878	\$0	\$6,545,709	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$14,352,682	\$ 24,092,879	\$16,823,917	\$0	\$ 24,383,105	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$	\$5,517,653	\$3,860,542	\$0	\$5,862,923	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$3,843,878	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$24,943,457	\$ 36,095,343	\$\$27,264,337	\$0	\$36,791,737	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$90,334,410	\$96,001,588	\$45,044,401	\$0	\$47,801,716	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$115,277,867	\$ 132,096,931	\$	\$0	\$ 84,593,453	\$0	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$6,382,795	\$ 6,377,149	\$ 6,267,229	\$ 6,481,606	\$ 6,562,508	\$ 6,579,878	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$997,151	\$0	\$ 412,023	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$9,304,214	\$ 13,799,972	\$ 11,195,086	\$16,668,292	\$ 14,569,000	\$ 17,169,873	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$16,873,854	\$ 827,539	\$66,097	\$ 685,788	\$ 305,412	\$ 3,949,255	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$	\$2,288,471	\$	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$35,780,873	\$ 23,501,269	\$ 20,306,136	\$ 26,124,157	\$ 24,324,174	\$ 27,699,006	
		(THE FE	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	NERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 84,651,860	\$ 34,874,301	\$ 85,685,254	\$ 100,424,053	\$ 90,919,075	\$ 100,959,086	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$120,432,733	\$ 58,375,570	\$105,991,390	\$ 126,548,210	\$115,243,249	\$ 128,658,092	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

State funds include Medicaid match funds and other private foundation grants, which may fluctuate from the time of the budget amount and reporting of expenditures. In addition, budgeted amounts are only those funds that were approved by the Legislature at the time of projection, and may not reflect new funds.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

State funds include Medicaid match funds and other private foundation grants, which may fluctuate from the time of the budget amount and reporting of expenditures. In addition, budgeted amounts are only those funds that were approved by the Legislature at the time of projection, and may not reflect new funds.

Unbudgeted expenditures in restored school-based health center program, which was eliminated at the time of 2004 budget estimates.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Variations between budgeted and expenditures reflects the unanticipated increases or decreases in Medicaid Administrative Match used for programs in Office of Family Health. The Match dollars are categorized as "other funds" because revenue comes from another state agency (regardless of its original source as general or federal).

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See Note on 6. Program Income: Metabolic Newborn Screening fees are being reported as Other Funds, rather than Program Income.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Unbudgeted amounts in newborn metabolic screening expenditures and in CHSCN programs.

Includes increases in due to unbdugeted revenues from Medicaid Administrative Match. State funds include Medicaid match funds, which vary from the time of the budget amount and reporting of expenditures. In addition, budgeted amounts are only those funds that were approved by the Legislature at the time of projection, and may not reflect new funds.

Other funds includes those revenues that come from funds that are private foundations grants, and variations may be due to beginning or ending of grants or resources unanticipated at the time of budgeting.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Metabolic newborn screening fees in the Public Health Lab were previously reported as Program Income. For 2005 application/2003 report, it has been determined that this is not program income to the state's Title V program, but rather part of the other funds received and expended generally on the Title V population.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Changes in methods for reporting expenditures. Newborn metabolic screening fees previously budgeted as Program Income, but now included in "other funds"

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

In the 2003 budget period, Family Planning Expansion Project (Medicaid waiver) was reported as the Legislative approved amount. The expended amount changes depending on the number of clients served in the FPEP program, since it is a Medicaid Administrative Match program.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Includes increases in due to unbdugeted revenues from Medicaid Administrative Match. State funds include Medicaid match funds, which vary from the time of the budget amount and reporting of expenditures. In addition, budgeted amounts are only those funds that were approved by the Legislature at the time of projection, and may not reflect new funds.

Other funds includes those revenues that come from funds that are private foundations grants, and variations may be due to beginning or ending of grants or resources unanticipated at the time of budgeting.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	004 FY 200		2005	FY 2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$5,244,582	\$ 6,695,437	\$5,329,138	\$0	\$	\$
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$8,692,611	\$ 16,038,620	\$6,761,156	\$0	\$9,118,819	\$0
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$5,713,259	\$ 7,845,239	\$9,784,921	\$0	\$ 17,513,216	\$
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$3,499,277	\$	\$3,465,527	\$0	\$3,663,334	\$
e. Others	\$833,321	\$ 740,665	\$ 761,538	\$0	\$ 761,538	\$0
f. Administration	\$960,407	\$ 520,955	\$1,162,057	\$0	\$1,160,556	\$
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 24,943,457	\$36,095,343	\$27,264,337	\$0	\$36,791,737	\$0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	control of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).		
a. SPRANS	\$		\$808,834		\$817,585	
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$ 135,276		\$ 135,276	
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
d. Abstinence Education	\$498,124		\$0		\$0	
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0	
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0	
g. WIC	\$66,092,651		\$16,994,613		\$ <u>18,078,777</u>	
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
i. CDC	\$3,552,693		\$4,536,763		\$4,791,263	
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0	
k.Other]					
FP Waiver (CMS)	\$0		\$0		\$ 21,601,320	
Title X Fam Planning	\$0		\$0		\$ 2,377,495	
FPEP Waiver	\$ 17,325,357		\$ 20,191,420		\$0	
Title X	\$0		\$ 2,377,495		\$0	
FP Title X	\$ 2,025,535		\$0		\$ <u> </u>	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 90,334,410		\$ 45,044,401		\$ 47,801,716	

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$3,135,928	\$ 4,549,998	\$3,401,732	\$5,578,917	\$4,889,737	\$5,631,147	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$4,621,954	\$ 6,345,832	\$2,476,382	\$	\$6,617,213	\$8,408,313	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$\$22,609,244	\$7,608,598	\$9,175,375	\$8,140,118	\$	\$8,886,823	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 3,331,263	\$ 3,393,580	\$3,340,028	\$3,430,746	\$3,514,819	\$3,520,048	
e. Others	\$ 1,558,337	\$ 712,120	\$ 823,733	\$ 882,138	\$ 833,321	\$ 717,412	
f. Administration	\$ 524,147	\$ 891,141	\$1,088,886	\$ 791,618	\$ 996,162	\$ 535,263	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$35,780,873_	\$ 23,501,269	\$20,306,136	\$26,134,157	\$ 24,324,174	\$ 27,699,006	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the co	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$ 264,359		\$ 180,000		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$ 42,359		\$ 150,000		
c. CISS	\$ 100,000		\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 460,760		\$		\$0		
e. Healthy Start	\$ <u> </u>		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$ <u> </u>		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 52,352,071		\$66,584,880		\$ 66,276,588		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$2,812,961_		\$2,243,267		\$4,617,000		
j. Education	\$ <u> </u>		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
FPEP Waiver	\$0		\$0		\$ 17,325,357		
Title X Family Planning	\$ <u> </u>		\$0		\$ 2,370,130		
FPEP - HCFA Waiver	\$ <u> </u>		\$14,628,780		\$0		
Title X	\$2,974,224		\$1,691,571		\$0		
FP Expansion (1115 Waiver	\$25,821,844_		\$0		\$0		
Prev. Block Grant	\$30,000		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 84,651,860		\$ 85,685,254		\$ 90,919,075		

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2005 Field Note:

The budget for FY 2005 is based on the Legislative Approved budget for the 2003-2005 biennium.

. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Expenditures include increases in smoke-free grants from RWJ.

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended Year: 2003 Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Difference includes initiation of Medicaid Administrative Match for home visiting programs in local expenditures.

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Includes additional revenues and expenditures in school based health centers, which were eliminated by the Legislature for approximately 1 year, before restarting.

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

9. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Additional general fund expenditures and revenues that budgeted.

10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersBudgeted Row Name: All Others Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Womens health programs: Title V expenditures for womens health and family planning general funds and Title V expenditures for women > 21 years old.

11. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

12. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Womens health programs: Title V expenditures for womens health and family planning general funds and Title V expenditures for women > 21 years old.

13. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Includes expenditures for administrative staff and cost allocation charges.

14. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle

can change how some funds are reported at the time.

15. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Lower than budgeted expenditures.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$4,607,587	\$5,097,024	\$5,400,834	\$0	\$	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$8,564,121	\$14,061,340	\$9,712,158	\$0	\$14,609,456	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 1,741,325	\$ 3,629,591	\$1,670,661	\$0	\$\$	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$10,030,424	\$13,307,388	\$10,480,684	\$0	\$12,454,768	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$24,943,457	\$36,095,343	\$27,264,337	\$0	\$36,791,737	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepulce	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 6,812,772	\$	\$ 4,137,105	\$ 4,003,550	\$ 4,423,335	\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$17,318,777	\$7,697,613	\$5,684,164	\$8,788,092	\$8,278,049	\$10,031,818	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$\$	\$3,058,443	\$	\$3,504,207	\$\$	\$\$	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$ 6,256,729	\$9,385,470	\$6,260,066	\$9,838,308	\$9,576,274	\$10,522,622	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$35,780,873	\$23,501,269	\$20,306,136	\$26,134,157	\$24,324,174	\$27,699,006	

NOTE FOR ALL EXPENDITURES: Expenditures are not final at the time of preparation, the Title V and other grants are not yet closed, and state biennial budgeting cycle can change how some funds are reported at the time.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Unbudgeted expenditures in county level high-risk infant tracking home visiting programs, due to increases in Medicaid Administrative Match revenues.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Metabolic newborn screening fees in the Public Health Lab were previously reported as Program Income. For 2005 application/2003 report, it has been determined that this is not program income to the state's Title V program, but rather part of the other funds received and expended generally on the Title V population.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Unbudgeted expenditures in high-risk infant tracking and newborn screening referral to services.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

State funds include Medicaid match funds and other private foundation grants, which may fluctuate from the time of the budget amount and reporting of expenditures. In addition, budgeted amounts are only those funds that were approved by the Legislature at the time of projection, and may not reflect new funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Unbudgeted expenditures in restored school-based health center program, which was eliminated at the time of 2004 budget estimates.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Unbudgeted expenditures in CSHCN programs and high risk infant tracking.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
	STATE: OR										
Total Births by Oc	currence:	46,444			Reporting Y	ear: 2004					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre	D) eatment that Freatment(3)					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	46,444	100	10		2 2	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	46,444	100	766	16	16	100					
Galactosemia	46,444	100	4	(0						
Sickle Cell Disease	46,444	100	11	(6	100					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)							
(2) Report only tho	(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.										

Confirmed cases include those that are confirmed AND need treatment. For the number that were confirmed, but did not need treatment see the note for the confirmed number of each specific screening.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_Confirmed

Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This measure includes only those confirmed cases (3) that needed treatment (2).

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_Confirmed Row Name: Congenital Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Of the 16 cases that were confirmed all of them needed treatment.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Confirmed cases include only those that were confirmed and needed treatment. In the case of Sickle Cell Disease or Hemoglobin Disease, there were 9 confirmed cases,

but only 6 that needed treatment.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: OR

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %			
Pregnant Women	7,119	49.8		4.8	45.4	0.1			
Infants < 1 year old	5,474	69.3		9.7	20.6	0.4			
Children 1 to 22 years old	2,976	77.1		8.3	14.4	0.2			
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	7,574	49.0		43.0	6.0	2.0			
Others									
TOTAL	23,143								

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_TS

Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

Total infants <1 include all those served by our Babies First! program, a Title V funded program for infants. This data is consistent with previous years, but does not come within 10% of the total births by occurance (46,444) on Form 6.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This number representes all children seen through CCN, CaCoon, FSP and the CDRC clinics in FY 04- July 1 2003 to June 30 2004.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS Row Name: Others Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

All populations served by Title V have been included in other fields.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: OR

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	44,960	40,360	1,033	852	2,258	223		234
Title V Served	5,474	3,413	288	51	66			1,656
Eligible for Title XIX	18,128	16,321	679	514	481	57		76
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	44,735	40,162	1,025	846	2,247	222		233
Title V Served	5,474	3,413	288	51	66			1,656
Eligible for Title XIX	18,025	16,229	674	511	478	57		76

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATING	(Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	of origin)
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	36,125	8,672	163	7,965	38	102	371	196
Title V Served	4,013	1,247	214					1,247
Eligible for Title XIX	12,160	5,920	48	5,546	20	50	190	114
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	35,937	8,635	163	7,931	38	101	369	196
Title V Served	4,013	1,247	214					1,247
Eligible for Title XIX	12,078	5,899	48	5,526	20	50	189	114

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races Year: 2006

The number of total infants in the state is not within 10% of the total number of infants served by Title V (reported on Form 7). However, this number is consistent with

reporting for previous years.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: OR

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) SAFENET	(800) SAFENET	(800) SAFENET	(800) SAFENET	(800) SAFENET
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	211 Info (SafeNet)	Oregon Health Safenet	Oregon Health Safenet	Oregon Health Safenet	Oregon Health Safenet
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Jeri Shumate	Bonnie Teschner	Bonnie Teschner	Bonnie Teschner	Bonnie Teschner
Contact Person's Telephone Number	503-226-3099	(503) 306-5519	Bonnie Teschner	Bonnie Teschner	Bonnie Teschner
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		30,747	29,471	36,597

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: OR

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: OR

1. State MCH Administration:

The Oregon State Health Division, of the Dept of Human Services, administers the Title V Program. The services located in the Title V agency include grants to counties, policy and program development and evaluation, population-based assessment and surveillance, and leadership and coordination of health systems and services for MCH populations, including high-risk pregnant women, infants and children, adolescents, and children with special health care needs. The Child Development and Rehabilitation Center, in the Oregon Health and Science University, administers the Title V Program for children with special health needs.

Block Grant Funds			
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	6,545,709	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	24,383,105	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$	0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$	5,862,923	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$	0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$	36,791,737	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	_		County Health Departments
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)a. Pregnant Womenb. Infants < 1 year oldc. Children 1 to 22 years old		7,119 5,474 2,976	
a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old		5,474	

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

Community Based Assistance Project: Assistance in LHDs for pregnant women and families in applying for the Oregon Health Plan Maternity Case Management: Nurse case management for high risk womenMothersCare: Partnership of service providers to coordinate and promote access to prenatal careLove Shouldn't Hurt Committee: Consortium of community coalitions to raise awareness and promote education about domestic violenceWIC-Immunization (WICImm): Collaboration to identify and refer WIC clients needing immunizations. CSHCN: Subsidizing pediatric specialty clinics of the CDRC; Payment of hosptial, physician and ancillary services billings for eligible children; Payment of transportation and lodging for families traveling to receive services; Payment for respite care for families.Olds Model for Prenatal Service Delivery: Replication in two Oregon counties (urban and rural) with CCFH and CDRC exploring development of a statewide project to replicate the Olds models in other counties

b. Population-Based Services:

Oregon MothersCare: an initiative to build partnerships to streamline, coordinate and promote access to early prenatal care through coordination of referral systems which link women to the state toll-free hotline Family Planning Expansion Project: HCFA 1115 waiver (pending approval) to increase system capacity by expanding Medicaid coverage for family planning servicesGovernor's Task Force on Youth Suicide: Governor-appointed of public/private organizations studying and making recommendations for coordinating services and increasing awareness for youth suicide preventionGovernor's Action Committee to Reduce Teen Pregnancy. Governor-appointed committee to develop recommendations and outreach to reduce teen pregnancyOregon Coalition to Reduce Underage Drinking: Broad-based, youth-oriented forum focused on influencing environmental factors contributing to underage drinkingEarly Childhood Caries Prevention Coalition: Provider coalition to develop statewide caries prevention for high risk childrenOregon's Child Everyone's Business: Public/private coalition to improve the well-being of Oregon childrenStatewide Oral Health Initiative: public-private partnership to educate, develop and implement activities to promote improved oral health of OregoniansOregon Childrens Plan - screening and referral of all at-risk births in Oregon collaboration with the Oregon Commission for Children and Families

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

FamilyNet and Family/Child Module: Linked data systems for early childhood; ALERT Immunization Registry: Public/private partnership to develop tracking system for immunizations for all children; VISTA Health Links: Provide client assistance for information, referral, and payment methods at LHDs Oregon Partnership to Immunize Children - public/private provider coalition to develop outreach activities to increase the rate of immunizations of two year olds; SAFENET: MCH Hotline for information, referal, and assistance for all types of health services; Community Genetics and Ethics Planning; statewide genetics planning process to include a community assessment around data, services, education and policy needs; CSHCN: organizations working with 12 communities in developing community based secondary level clinics under the Community Connections programWorking with the Oregon Dept. of Education's EI/ECSE program to assure that health issues are addressed along with the educational issues; Participating with the Medical Director of OMAP and Medical Directors of palns in developing quality asurance and best practice guidelines for CSHCNCare Coordination (CaCoon) services through contracts with local health departments for public health nurses; Coordination with the state Medicaid agency, OMAP, in evaluating the impact of Medicaid managed care on CSHCN, devleoping provider education programs, and participating in quality assurance efforts

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Robert Nickel, MD	Name	Katherine Bradley, RN, PhD	Name
Director, CDRC	Title	Administrator, Office of Family Health	Title
P.O. Box 574	Address	800 N.E. Oregon St	Address
Portland	City	Portland	City
OR	State	OR	State
97207	Zip	97232	Zip
(503) 494-6961	Phone	971-673-0233	Phone
(503) 434-6868	Fax	971-673-031	Fax
nickelr@ohsu.edu	Email	katherine.bradley@state.or.us	Email
http://cdrc.ohsu.edu/	Web	http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/ph/ofhs/	Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: OR

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

			Annual O	bjective and Per	formance Dat	a		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	100		100	10	00	100		100
Annual Indicator				100.	.0	100.0		100.0
Numerator				3	80	33		24
Denominator				3	30	33		24
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and Per	formance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	100		100	10	00	100		100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for fut			oove years. Num	erator, Denom	nator and	Annual Indi	cators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual O	bjective and Perform	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				55	57
Annual Indicator			54.6	54.6	54.6
Numerator				62,990	62,990
Denominator				115,367	115,367
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	

		<u>An</u>	nual Objective and	Performance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	59		61	63	65

Numerator
Numerator Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03				" -11 (00110	
ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate			,	(N Survey)
	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				53	5
			52.3	52.3	52.
Numerator				60,337	60,33
Denominator				115,367	115,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	,
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	60	65	70	75	
Annual Indicator	, —	- 	-		_
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for rat	uit your adia.			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04			I.P. Comment	Cod o pamina de	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o			ney need. (CSHCN
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002		ney need. (CSHCN
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the control of th	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where yey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	2004 55.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wherey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	2003 56 55.7	2004 55. 64,25
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whovey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	2003 56 55.7 64,259	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	56 55.7 64,259 115,367	2004 55. 64,25
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whovey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	2003 56 55.7 64,259 115,367 Final	2004 55. 64,25
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whovey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7	2003 56 55.7 64,259 115,367 Final	2004 55. 64,25
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whervey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	dequate private and/o Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7 Objective and Perfor	2003 56 55.7 64,259 115,367 Final	2004 55. 64,25 115,36
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whatvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005	Annual (2006)	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7 Objective and Perfor 2007 60	56 55.7 64,259 115,367 Final	2004 55 64,25 115,36 2009
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whurvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2005	Annual of Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 55.7 Objective and Perfor 2007	56 55.7 64,259 115,367 Final	2004 55 64,2 115,3 2009

families report the	he community-						
		based servi	rice systems	are orgar	nized so they can us	e them easily	y. (CSF
		Annual C	Objective an	d Perfori	nance Data		
2000	2001		2002		2003	2004	
e					74		7
				73.9	73.9		73.
					85,256		85,25
					115,367		115,36
?					Final		
		Annual C	Objective an	d Perfori	nance Data		
2005	2006		2007		2008	2009	
e	78	80		85	90		
r							
Please fill in on	ily the Objectiv	es for the a	above years.	Numerat	or, Denominator and	a Annuai indi	cators
not required for	r future year da	ata.					
r							
d the services ne	cessary to mal	ke transitior	n to all aspec	ts of adu	t life. (CSHCN Surv	ey)	
	-	Annual C	Objective an	d Perfori	nance Data		
2000	2001		2002		2003	2004	
e					6		
				5.8	5.8		5.
					6,691		6,69
					115,367		115,36
·					Final		- ,
·							
?							
·		Annual O	Obiective an	d Perfori	nance Data		
·	2006	Annual O	Objective an	d Perfori	mance Data 2008	2009	
?		Annual O		d Perfori 20	-	2009	
2005 e	10	17	2007	20	2008		
	2005 Please fill in or not required for the services ne	2005 2006 2006 78 Please fill in only the Objective not required for future year day the services necessary to male 2000 2001	Annual C 2005 2006 2006 Respective fill in only the Objectives for the anot required for future year data. The services necessary to make transition Annual C 2000 2001	Annual Objective and 2005 2006 2007 Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. In not required for future year data. The the services necessary to make transition to all aspect Annual Objective and 2000 2001 2002	Annual Objective and Perform 2005 2006 2007 2 8 80 85 The Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerate not required for future year data. The the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adultation and the services and Perform 2000 2001 2002 5.8	Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and not required for future year data. The services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survented and Performance Data) 2000 2001 2002 2003 5 5.8 5.8	Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 A 85 90 Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indianot required for future year data. Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 5.8 5.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07								
ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ag aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immu	nizations a	against Mea	sles, Mumps, Rubel	la, Polio, Di	phtheria, To	etanus, Per	tussis,
			Annual (Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	75		77	77		78		7
Annual Indicator	74.7		68.5	70		76.5		
Numerator							_	
Denominato	·							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				'	Final			
	2005	2006	Annual (Objective and Perfo	rmance Da 2008	ata	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	80	80		80.5	2009	80
						00.0		00
Annual Indicator		he Obiectiv	ves for the a	above vears. Numer	ator. Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numer	ator, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numer	ator, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectivure year d	ata.				Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fut	he Objectivure year d	ata.	Dbjective and Perfo			Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for fut of the not re	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfo	ormance Da			
Numerator Denominator REFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut of the not re	ure year d	ata. Annual (Objective and Perfo	ormance Da 2003	ata		
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut of the not re	2001	Annual C	2002 25.5 27.7	ormance Da 2003	17.5		
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 23.1 1,656	2001	Annual (26 20.4	2002 25.5 27.7	ormance Da 2003	17.5 16.5		
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001	Annual (26 20.4 1,477	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307	ormance Da 2003	17.5 16.5 1,225		
Regression Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001	Annual (26 20.4 1,477	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307	ormance Da 2003	17.5 16.5 1,225		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 23.1 1,656 71,688	2001	Annual (26 20.4 1,477 72,467	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307 73,643	rmance Da 2003 Final	17.5 16.5 1,225 74,433	2004	licators 1
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001	Annual C 26 20.4 1,477 72,467	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307 73,643 Dbjective and Perfo	Final 2008	17.5 16.5 1,225 74,433		1
RIFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001	Annual (26 20.4 1,477 72,467	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307 73,643	Final 2008	17.5 16.5 1,225 74,433	2004	
Rumerator Denominator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	2001 2006	Annual (26 20.4 1,477 72,467 Annual (15.5 20.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4 1	2002 25.5 17.7 1,307 73,643 Dbjective and Perfo	Final 2008	17.5 16.5 1,225 74,433	2004	1

rcent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one per	manent molar tooth	ı .			
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	30	50	0 55	55		5
Annual Indicator	50.0	Nal	50.0	50.0		50.
Numerator	650		0 650	650		65
Denominator	1,301		0 1,301	1,301		1,30
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
	2003					
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t	5: he Objectives for th ure year data.	5 55 e above years. Numera	55 tor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only t not required for ful	he Objectives for th ure year data. es per 100,000 chil	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only t not required for ful	he Objectives for th ure year data. es per 100,000 chil	e above years. Numera dren. al Objective and Perfo	tor, Denominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash	he Objectives for th ure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001	e above years. Numera dren. al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for full motor vehicle crash	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001	dren. al Objective and Perfore 2002 9 3.8	rmance Data 2003 3.7		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 2000 4	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.	dren. al Objective and Perfor 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7	rmance Data 2003 3.7		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for full motor vehicle crash 2000 4 3.8	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.4 4.6	dren. al Objective and Perfore 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7 4 19	rmance Data 2003 3.7 4.4 32		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 2000 4 3.8 27 710,367	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.4 4.6	dren. al Objective and Perfore 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7 4 19	rmance Data 2003 3.7		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 2000 4 3.8 27 710,367	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.4 4.6	dren. al Objective and Perfore 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7 4 19	rmance Data 2003 3.7 4.4 32 722,905		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 2000 4 3.8 27 710,367	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.4. 3.7 713,36	dren. al Objective and Perfore 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7 4 19	rmance Data 2003 3.7 4.4 32 722,905 Final		dicators 3
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 2000 4 3.8 27 710,367	he Objectives for thure year data. es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 3.4. 3.7 713,36	dren. al Objective and Perfor 2002 9 3.8 8 2.7 4 19 1 716,526	rmance Data 2003 3.7 4.4 32 722,905 Final		icators

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha-	rge.				
	2200		al Objective and Perfo		2004
Amusal Parformance Objective	2000 87	2001 8	2002 7 88	2003 89.5	2004 90
Annual Performance Objective					
	88.6	88.		88	88
Numerator					
Denominator			_		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annu	ol Objective and Barfa	rmanaa Data	
	2005	2006	al Objective and Perfo 2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	90.5	9	1 91.5	92	92.
Annual Indicator					
	D1 (01)				
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for fut	ure year data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annua	al Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for future hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93	ormance Data 2003	2004 98.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN	rmance Data 2003 98.5	2004 98.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 42,02	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0	98.5 95.0 95.0	2004 98. 93. 43,31
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 42,02	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0	98.5 95.0 95.0	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 42,02	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0	98.5 95.0 43,565 45,844	98. 93. 43,31 46,35
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 91. 42,02 45,94	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0 7 0	98.5 95.0 43,565 45,844 Final	98. 93. 43,31 46,35 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 91. 42,02 45,94 Annua 2006	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0 7 0 al Objective and Perfo 2007	98.5 95.0 43,565 45,844 Final	2004 98. 93. 43,31 46,35 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81	Annua 2001 9 91. 42,02 45,94	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0 7 0 al Objective and Perfo 2007	98.5 95.0 43,565 45,844 Final	98. 93. 43,31 46,35 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 92 81 2005 99.1	Annua 2001 9 91. 42,02 45,94 Annua 2006 99.	al Objective and Perfo 2002 3 93 5 NaN 0 0 7 0 al Objective and Perfo 2007	98.5 95.0 43,565 45,844 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 98. 93. 43,31 46,35 Final

Denominator

12.
101,61
848,00
8.
<u> </u>
dicators
8
77.
77. 248,56
77. 248,56
8 77. 248,56 319,43
77. 248,56
77. 248,56 319,43
77. 248,56 319,43
77. 248,56

Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Numerator	452	435	472	466	
Denominator	45,786	45,318	45,190	45,935	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0
Annual Indicator					
	Places fill in only th	on Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Donominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	Allitual Illulcators
Danaminatan	not required for futi	ure vear data.			
Denominator		,			
Denominator					
Denominator					
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16					
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16			Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16			Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	gh 19.	Annual (-		2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective	gh 19.	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	2002	2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 9	Annual 6 2001 8.5	2002 8.5	2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0	Annual 0 2001 8.5 6.0	8.5 8.0	8 6.3	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15	8.5 8.0 20	8 6.3 16	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15	8.5 8.0 20	8 6.3 16 253,202	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15 248,078	8.5 8.0 20	2003 8 6.3 16 253,202 Final	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15 248,078	8.5 8.0 20 250,518	2003 8 6.3 16 253,202 Final	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32 245,520	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15 248,078	2002	8 6.3 16 253,202 Final	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32 245,520 2005	Annual 6 2001 8.5 6.0 15 248,078 Annual 6 2006	2002 8.5 8.0 20 250,518 Objective and Perfor 2007 5.8	8 6.3 16 253,202 Final mance Data 2008 5.8	2009
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 9 13.0 32 245,520 2005	Annual (2006 Annual (2006) Annual (2006)	2002 8.5 8.0 20 250,518 Objective and Perfore 2007	8 6.3 16 253,202 Final mance Data 2008 5.8	2009

				jective and Perfor		<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	0.5	2004	,
Annual Performance Objective			85	85		85		
Annual Indicator			83.7	82.4		76.8		81
Numerator	367		364	388		358		3
Denominator	452		435	471		466		4
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
	2005	2000						
FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objective ture year dat	85	85 ove years. Numerat	tor, Denomi	85 nator and a	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objective ture year dat	85 es for the ab	ove years. Numerat		nator and a	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for fu	he Objective ture year dat	85 es for the abota.			nator and a	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abota.	ove years. Numeral	mance Dat	nator and a		icator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only to not required for furginning in the first to 2000	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	es for the abota. Annual Ob	ove years. Numerate of the properties of the properties and Perfore 2002	mance Dat	nator and a		icator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000 83	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abota. Annual Ob	ove years. Numeral ojective and Perfor 2002	mance Dat	nator and a		icator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for further spinning in the first to 2000 83.	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abota. Annual Ob 83 81.4	ove years. Numerate operations and Perfor 2002 84 81.6	mance Dat	a 84 81.0		icator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000 83 81.1 37,114 45,786	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abota. Annual Ob 83 81.4 36,903	ove years. Numerate operations of the second	mance Dat	84 81.0 37,207		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000 83 81.1 37,114 45,786	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abota. Annual Ob 83 81.4 36,903 45,318	Djective and Perfor 2002 84 81.6 36,859 45,190	mance Dat 2003 Final	84 81.0 37,207 45,935		icator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for further ginning in the first to 2000 83 81.1 37,114 45,786	he Objective ture year dat rimester.	85 es for the abrata. Annual Ob 83 81.4 36,903 45,318	ove years. Numerate operations of the second	mance Dat 2003 Final	84 81.0 37,207 45,935		icator

51
51
9
54.5
al Indicators
14
1 4 42
72
9
44
al Indicators
00

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3						
Percent of pregnant women reporting no tobacco use.						
		<u>Annua</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	86	86.5	87	87		87.5
Annual Indicator	86.5	87.2	87.6	88.1		
Numerator			39,572	40,483		
Denominator			45,190	45,935		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		
		Annua	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	88	88.3	88.7	89		89.
		ie Obiectives for the	e above vears. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indica	tors
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indica	tors
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indica	tors
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ure year data.	Deligible 2002		Annual Indica	tors
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut- hild safety seats.	ure year data. Annua	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c	not required for fut- hild safety seats.	ure year data. Annua 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003		7
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut-	Annua 2001 70	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 75		7
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut-	Annua 2001 69	Objective and Perfor 2002 73 74.0	75 73.0		7
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hild safety seats. 2000 68 66	Annua 2001 69	Objective and Perfor 2002 73 74.0 168,474	75 73.0 166,937		78
CANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hild safety seats. 2000 68 66	Annua 2001 69	73 74.0 168,474 227,668	75 73.0 166,937 228,681	2004	78
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hild safety seats. 2000 68 66	Annua 2001 69	Objective and Perfor 2002 73 74.0 168,474	75 73.0 166,937 228,681	2004	78 76
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futth hild safety seats. 2000 68 66 2005	Annua 2001 69 Annua	73 74.0 168,474 227,668	75 73.0 166,937 228,681 Final	2004 Final	78
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in c Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut- hild safety seats. 2000 68 66 2005	Annua 2001 70 69 Annua 2006	73 74.0 168,474 227,668	75 73.0 166,937 228,681 Final Final 7 8 81	2004 Final	7 7

Denominator

			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	85	5%	85	86	86	
Annual Indicator		87	87.7	89.3	89.5	9
Numerator		_				
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
			04.5	92	92.5	
Denominator	Please fill in on not required for	91 ally the Objective of future year de	91.5 ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera		d Annual Indicato
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in on not required for	nly the Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicato
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in on not required for	the Objective of future year defined the state of the sta	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 eent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system	Please fill in on not required for softmally fluor	nly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicato
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Sent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in on not required for six optimally fluor	oly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	ves for the a	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in on not required for six optimally fluor	oly the Objective refuture year described in the control of the co	ves for the a lata. Annual C	Objective and Performs 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in on not required for is optimally fluor	oly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002 27 20.1	rmance Data 2003 27	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in on not required for is optimally fluor	oly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	Annual C 27 22.7 612,483	Objective and Perfore 2002 27 20.1 629,236	rmance Data 2003 27 19.2 678,853	2004 2 728,
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in on not required for is optimally fluor	oly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	Annual C 27 22.7 612,483 2,700,000	Objective and Perfore 2002 27 20.1 629,236	rmance Data 2003 27 19.2 678,853 3,541,500 Final	2004 2 728, 3,582,6
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 cent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in on not required for is optimally fluor	oly the Objective of future year described in the control of the c	Annual C 27 22.7 612,483 2,700,000	2002 27 20.1 629,236 3,123,532	rmance Data 2003 27 19.2 678,853 3,541,500 Final	2004 2 728, 3,582,6

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7					
Percent of K-12 students with access to a State Certified school-base	d health center.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		7	7	7	-
	7.5			6.4	6.8
Numerator	40,534	34,782	34,227	32,642	37,493
Denominator	542,427	548,659	512,918	509,327	551,276
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
				_	
	2005	<u>Annual (</u> 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	rmance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		7			200 3 7.
Annual Indicator					
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.			
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8					
	services		Objective and Perfor		2004
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination	services	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective	2000 25%	2001 25%	2002	2003	30
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25% 29.0	2001 25% 27.0	2002 28 27.0	2003 28 27.0	35.
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527	2001 25% 27.0 4,134	2002 28 27.0 3,115	2003 28 27.0 3,142	35. 4,82
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613	2001 25% 27.0	2002 28 27.0 3,115	2003 28 27.0 3,142 11,636	35.
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613	2001 25% 27.0 4,134	2002 28 27.0 3,115	2003 28 27.0 3,142	35. 4,82
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613	25% 27.0 4,134 15,312	2002 28 27.0 3,115	2003 28 27.0 3,142 11,636 Final	35. 4,82
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613	25% 27.0 4,134 15,312	2002 28 27.0 3,115 11,537	2003 28 27.0 3,142 11,636 Final	35. 4,82
Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613	25% 27.0 4,134 15,312	2002 28 27.0 3,115 11,537 Objective and Perform	2003 28 27.0 3,142 11,636 Final	35. 4,82 13,52
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25% 29.0 4,527 15,613 2005	2001 25% 27.0 4,134 15,312 Annual (2006) 30	2002 28 27.0 3,115 11,537 Objective and Perform 2007	2003 28 27.0 3,142 11,636 Final Tmance Data 2008	35. 4,82 13,52 2009

egree of participation in the collaborative effort of developing a state	wide data system to	support Oregon's e	arly childhood progran	n neeas.		
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perform	rmance Data		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		80	80	85		8
Annual Indicator			82.8	83		82.
Numerator						49
Denominator					-	60
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
	2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	rmance Data 2008	2009	
					2003	,
Annual Parformance Objective	90					
Annual Performance Objective		90	90	90		•
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	o Ohio etiyoo for the			Annual India	
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	o Ohio etiyoo for the	above years. Numera		Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	o Ohio etiyoo for the			Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	o Ohio etiyoo for the			Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	o Ohio etiyoo for the			Annual Indic	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.			Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ne Objectives for the ure year data. HCN. Annual		tor, Denominator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ne Objectives for the ure year data. HCN. Annual 2001	above years. Numera Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data	Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ne Objectives for the ure year data. HCN. Annual	above years. Numera Objective and Perfore 2002	tor, Denominator and		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie	Please fill in only the not required for future addressing CSh 2000 3% 5.8	ne Objectives for the ure year data. HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3	Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future addressing CSF 2000 3% 5.8 104	HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 91 86.3	rmance Data 2003		eators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future addressing CSh 2000 3% 5.8	HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 91 86.3	rmance Data 2003 93		eators 97
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future for future for each fill for future for	HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 91 86.3	rmance Data 2003 93 93.2 124		9 97.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Incremt of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experies Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future for future for each fill for future for	HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3 121	Objective and Perfor 2002 91 86.3 101	rmance Data 2003 93 93.2 124 133 Final		97.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Procent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience of providers in Oregon participating in O	Please fill in only the not required for future addressing CSF 2000 3% 5.8 104 1,785	he Objectives for the ure year data. HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3 121 134	Objective and Performance Objective and Performance 91 86.3 101 117 Objective and Performance	rmance Data 2003 93 93.2 124 133 Final	2004	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 recent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experie Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future addressing CSF 2000 3% 5.8 104 1,785	HCN. Annual 2001 90 90.3 121	Objective and Performance 2002 91 86.3 101 117 Objective and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003 93 93.2 124 133 Final		9 97.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Changes in this measure occured in 2003. The differences in the numerators and denominators now measure the numbers of positive metabolic tests that received a follow-up. The previous measure counted the percent of all births receiving a screen; the previous measure results are not reflected here.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. The denominator is projected based on the SLAITS estimate that 13% of Oregon's children qualify as children with special health needs.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

The data reported for 2004 comes from the same SLAITS data that is reported for 2003.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. The denominator is projected based on the SLAITS estimate that 13% of Oregon's children qualify as children with special health needs.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. The denominator is projected based on the SLAITS estimate that 13% of Oregon's children qualify as children with special health needs.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. The denominator is projected based on the SLAITS estimate that 13% of Oregon's children qualify as children with special health needs.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure. The denominator is projected based on the SLAITS estimate that 13% of

Oregon's children qualify as children with special health needs.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. You have entered a manual indicator for 2002 without adding a corresponding note. Please explain in a note the use of the manual indicator rather than a numerator and denominator.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

1) Data reported by fiscal year.

2) Data for 2002 was corrected.

State Performance Measure 7 is reported based on statistics from the NIH survey. Results have a very large margin of error based on their small sample size, therefore, they may vary substantially.

3) The high variability in the immunization rates is a result of confidence interval range from 5.9 to 6.2 for years 1999-2003.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

NIS data for 2004 will not be available until Fall 2005.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002

The software that calculates the rate given the numerator and denominator reports a slightly different number (17.7) than the actual birth rate (17.8). This cannot be changed on the screen.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Vital Stats data is not yet available for 2003. It will become available in 2005.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

a) No data available until late fall or early winter 2005.

- b) The target for 2004 is better than the annual indicator for 2003, however, it is not possible to adjust the 2004 target. The 2005 -2009 targets were adjusted.
- 23. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data source is the Oregon Smile Survey, last performed in 2000. Numerator and denominator is carried forward for each year. The next Smile Survey is tentatively scheduled for 2006, however, beyond that time the survey's continuation is unknown.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data source is the Oregon Smile Survey, last performed in 2000. Numerator and denominator is carried forward for each year. The next Smile Survey is tentatively scheduled for 2006, however, beyond that time the survey's continuation is unknown.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The next anticipated dateData source is the Oregon Smile Survey, last performed in 2000. Numerator and denominator is carried forward for each year. The next Smile Survey is tentatively scheduled for 2006, however, beyond that time the survey's continuation is unknown. for this information to be collected is in 2006.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Updated in 2006 report, changed source data.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Stats will not have 2003 information until 2005.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

No data available until spring 2006.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

- 0) Due to unavailability of data from Mother's Survey, Ross Products Division, the data source for 2003 has been estimated as the % of those "ever breastfed" as reported to the CDC's NIS survey.
- 1) Data source changed in 2002 to Mothers Survey, Ross Products Division, Abbott Laboratories from Oregon Public Health Lab data.
- 2) Data from 1999 through 2001 has been corrected to reflect data from Ross.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Based on 2003 estimate from NIS data.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 data previously reported included data from 2002 - 2003. This information was slightly different than other years data because it only included hospitals that were mandated for hearing screening rather than all births. The information has been removed.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 numerator is an estimate of all infants that received a hearing screening during 2003, not only those that were screened prior to hospital discharge.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The denominator is not the same as all births because deaths have been subtracted.

Information is reported for all hearing screenings, not just those performed prior to hospital discharge.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is only available every other year, so the estimate for 2003 is based on the 2002 data.

Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. WARNING! You have not provided data for the reporting year, 2002. If an actual number is not available, make an estimate. If neither actual data nor an estimate can be provided, please click on the Notes icon next to the performance measure number and enter a note. In this note: 1) explain why the data are unavailable at this time and 2) indicate when (timeframe) the data will be provided

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 low weight birth data is not yet reported for the state. It will become available in 2005.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

No data available until Spring 2006.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Errors on Form Submission

1. WARNING! You have not provided data for the reporting year, 2002. If an actual number is not available, make an estimate. If neither actual data nor an estimate can be provided, please click on the Notes icon next to the performance measure number and enter a note. In this note: 1) explain why the data are unavailable at this time and 2) indicate when (timeframe) the data will be provided.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Stats data for 2003 will not be available until 2005.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

a) Data for 2004 will not be available until Spring 2006.

b) The performance target for 2004 has been surpassed by the annual indicator for 2003, however it is not possible to change the 2004 target. All subsequent annual targets have been adjusted.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Updated 4/20/05.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 will not be available until 2005.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 numbers have been changed to reflect a better source of the data.

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Stats data for 2003 will not be available until 2005.

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data will not be available until spring 2006.

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Corrected 4/21/05.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 will not be available until September 2005.

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Not available until summer 06.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Incorrect number reported in 2005 report, numbers changed on 4/20/05.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2002 data numerator and denominator are estimated based on the total population of pregnant women 15-44. The proportion represents the women 15-44 that took folic acid at least one day a week prior to becoming pregnant.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 will not be available until summer 2006.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. You have entered a manual indicator for 2002 without adding a corresponding note. Please explain in a note the use of the manual indicator rather than a numerator and denominator.

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Vital Stat data for 2003 will not be available until 2005.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data unavailable until spring 2006.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. You have entered a manual indicator for 2002 without adding a corresponding note. Please explain in a note the use of the manual indicator rather than a numerator and denominator.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

State Performance Measure 4 indicator comes from a Oregon Department of Transportation annual survey. The numerator and denominator are based on the total population for that age group.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator and Denominator figures were not available for 2004, however, the measure is based on the same source as the previous years.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. You have entered a manual indicator for 2002 without adding a corresponding note. Please explain in a note the use of the manual indicator rather than a numerator and denominator.

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator and Denominator are not available because they are based on an unweighted count including refused and not answered responses.

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator is an estimate of the difference between the number of people living in communities with flouridated water between 2002 and 2004. Denominator is 2003 Or total pop estimate as of July 1, 2003.

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Numerator includes the population of residents living in the cities where the water is fluoridated up to 1.0mg as of 7/30/2004. Denominator is based on a July 1, 2004 estimate from the Oregon total Population Research Center. Although the portion of the population that has fluoridated water reduced slightly from 2003 to 2004 this is due to using the same estimate for the number of people that received fluoridated water while the population (denominator) increased. There was actually no decrease.

62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Need note. See error message below.

Errors on Form Submission

1. WARNING! You have not provided data for the reporting year, 2002. If an actual number is not available, make an estimate. If neither actual data nor an estimate can be provided, please click on the Notes icon next to the performance measure number and enter a note. In this note: 1) explain why the data are unavailable at this time and 2) indicate when (timeframe) the data will be provided.

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 % of children served by SBHC includes all centers that were open for any part of the year. During February 2004 (2003-2004 school year and 2003 reporting year) state funding was elimated for 20 SBHC and 6 of those closed. Funding was reinstated during August 2004.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8

Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Incomplete data, four counties not reporting. The numerator is derived from State CSHCN program data. These data identify those individuals who are receiving appropriate care coordination service in Oregon. The denominator is a derived number calculating 10% of the CSHCN population under 21.

65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The denominator is derived from taking 13.34 percent of total population of children in Oregon age 0-21 from 2003 census which is 1,014,111. 13.34 percent is 135,282 for all CSHN. Then we take 10 percent of this CSHN number to get the total of children with complex needs which is 13,528.

The numerator is derived from a sum of CSHN program clients (unduplicated) including CCN, FSP and CaCoon and the nursing and social work services from the CDRC clinics. The CaCoon numbers may be low due to two counties not reporting. The numerical breakdown is as follows. FSP=270, CCN=268, CaCoon=1149(may be low), CDRC Nursing =1,568, CDRC Social work=1,570. The sum of all these services is 4825. CDRC nursing reported a

FSP=270, CCN=268, CaCoon=1149(may be low), CDRC Nursing =1,568, CDRC Social work=1,570. The sum of all these services is 4825. CDRC nursing reported a significant increase in their client numbers over previous fiscal years.

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerators and denominators represent the sum of the participation of organizations in three efforts: cshcn data systems, early childhood data systems, and FamilyNet data systems.

67. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 data for this measure has been estimated based on last year's results and current participation.

68. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This number was estimated based on an average percent of organizations that attended specific types of meeting for design of the system. For all meetings when parent organizations were invited, they attended.

69. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominator includes providers in Title V Community-based programs for which CDRC is responsible to train. The denominator has dropeed significantly from 2000 to 2001 and beyond when we elected to evaluated performance in relation to the actual number of providers we would anticipate reaching through our training programs. The denominator is the total number of providers the Stat CSHCN program works throughout the state. The numberator is the actual number of those providers who are documented as having attended a state CSHCN program sponsored training program.

70. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The denominator is the total number of providers the State CSHCN program works with throughout the state. This number includes CaCoon RNs and promotoras, 5 members from each of 15 CCN teams and the Medical Home practices.

The numberator is the actual number of the above providers who are documented as having attended any state CSHCN program sponsored training program or event.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: OR

			Annual Ohio	ective and Perfor	mance Dat	a		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	<u>u</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	5.6		5.5	5.4		5.4		5.
Annual Indicator	5.6		5.4	5.8		5.6		5
	255		245	260		256		
Denominator			45,318	45,190		45,935		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
			Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.3		5.3	5.3		5.2		5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	01.1			tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indica	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	01.1			tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indica	ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the aboveta. Annual Obje	ve years. Numeral	mance Dat			ators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the above ta. Annual Obje	ve years. Numeral		<u>a</u>	Annual Indica	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje	ve years. Numeral	mance Dat			
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje	ve years. Numeral	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate.	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Dat	a 2.3		2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9 15.7	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje 2 2.4 1.6	ective and Perfor	mance Dat	2.3 1.8		2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9 15.7 5.4	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje 2 2.4 1.6 8.6	ective and Perfor	mance Dat	2.3 1.8 9.9		2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9 15.7 5.4	ne Objective ure year dat	Annual Obje 2 2.4 1.6 8.6 5.5	ective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.5 13.9 5.6	mance Dat 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	2.3 1.8 9.9 5.5	2004	2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9 15.7 5.4	2001	Annual Obje 2.4 1.6 8.6 5.5	ective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.5 13.9 5.6 ective and Perfor	mance Dat 2003 Final	2.3 1.8 9.9 5.5	2004 Provisional	2
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 Tratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut rate. 2000 2.4 2.9 15.7 5.4	2001	Annual Obje 2.4 1.6 8.6 5.5	ective and Perfor 2002 2.3 2.5 13.9 5.6	mance Dat 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	2.3 1.8 9.9 5.5	2004	2

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03								
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
				ctive and Perfor		<u>ata</u>		
	2000	2001		002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			3.1	3.1		3.1		3.1
Annual Indicator	4.2		3.6	3.8		3.8		3.8
Numerator	192		163	172		173		
Denominator	45,193	4	5,786	45,190		45,935		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
		А	nnual Obie	ctive and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2005	2006	_	007	2008	<u></u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	3.1		3.1	3.1		3.1		3.
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diamentillin and other	ne Objectives t	for the above	e years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators :
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives t ure year data.	for the abov	e years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators a
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives t ure year data.	for the abov	e years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives fure year data.	for the above	e years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators :
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives t ure year data.	for the abov	e years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.					Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.	nnual Obje	e years. Numerat			Annual Indi	cators a
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.	nnual Obje	ctive and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Obje. 20	ctive and Perfor	mance Da	ata		2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Obje 20 2.4	ctive and Perfor	mance Da	ata 2.3		2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.5 1.5	A 2001	2.4 2.1 97	ctive and Perfor 002 2.3 1.7	mance Da	2.3 1.8		2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	A 2001	2.4 2.1 97	2.3 1.7	mance Da	2.3 1.8 83		2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	A 2001	2.4 2.1 97	2.3 1.7	mance Da 2003	2.3 1.8 83	2004	2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	2001	2.4 2.1 97	2.3 1.7	mance Da 2003 Final	2.3 1.8 83 45,935	2004	2.2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	2001 A 2006	2.4 2.1 97 25,786	2.3 1.7 77 45,190	mance Da 2003 Final	2.3 1.8 83 45,935	2004	2.: 1.8
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	2001 A 2006	2.4 2.1 97 25,786	2.3 2.7 77 45,190	mance Da 2003 Final	2.3 1.8 83 45,935	2004 Provisiona	2.2
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193	2001 A 2006	2.4 2.1 97 5,786	2.3 1.7 77 45,190 ctive and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	2.3 1.8 83 45,935	2004 Provisiona 2009	2.: 1.i al
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.5 1.5 69 45,193 2005 Please fill in only the not required for futton the not required for futton the not required for futton to require futton futton to require futton fu	2001 A 2006	2.4 2.1 97 5,786	2.3 1.7 77 45,190 ctive and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	2.3 1.8 83 45,935	2004 Provisiona 2009	2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Annua	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	8.8		5.5	5	
Annual Indicator	6.0	5.0	6.1	5.5	5.5
	271	25	5 277	255	
Denominator		45,78	6 45,412	46,119	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	l Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5		5 5	5	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the	- Obi	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the	- Obi		tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for th ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for th ure year data. Annua	e above years. Numera I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ae child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ne Objectives for thure year data. Annua 2001	e above years. Numera I Objective and Perfor 2002 2.2	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 De child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 2.	Il Objective and Perfore 2002 2 2.2 20.7	rmance Data 2003 21	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 2.	I Objective and Perfor 2002 2 2.2 2 20.7	2003 21.1	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future 2000 23 20.9 137 656,988	Annua 2001 2.: 16.	I Objective and Perfor 2002 2 2.2 2 20.7	2003 21 21.1 143	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future 2000 23 20.9 137 656,988	Annua 2001 2.: 16. 10' 665,04:	l Objective and Perfor 2002 2 2.2 2 20.7 7 139 3 671,335	2003 21 21.1 143 676,970 Final	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 2.: 16. 10 665,04:	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.2 20.7 139 3 671,335	2003 21 21.1 143 676,970 Final	2004 2 2 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 23 20.9 137 656,988	Annua 2001 2.: 16. 10' 665,04:	l Objective and Performance 2002 2 2.2 2 20.7 7 139 8 671,335	2003 21 21.1 143 676,970 Final mance Data 2008	2004

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 was updated to include neonatal mortality with unreported birthweights. (Previously excluded)

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mortality data for 2004 will not be available until Spring 2006.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The race of infants is based on their mother's race for the denominator.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mortality data for 2004 will not be available until spring 2006.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Updated 2002 numerator - previously did not include unreported birthweight. Updated denominator.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

1. Denominators changed to reflect cohort year population.

2. Numerators corrected to reflect final vital stat data.

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Death data for 2004 unavailable until spring 2006.

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Previous data for 2002 was updated to include postneonatal mortality that had unreported birthweight.

9. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

1. Denominators changed to reflect cohort year population.

Numerators corrected to reflect final vital stat data.

10. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mortality data for 2004 is unavailable until spring 2006.

11. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Updated 2002 denominator because it did not include fetal deaths (as required by HRSA definition).

12. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

- 1. Denominators changed to reflect cohort year population.
- 2. Numerators corrected to reflect final vital stat data.
- 3. Fetal deaths include those at 28 weeks of gestation.

13. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5 **Field Name:** OM05

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mortality data for 2004 is unavailble until spring 2006.

14. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6
Field Name: OM06
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2002
Field Note:

Updated 2002 denominator to be all children age 1-14.

15. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6 Field Name: OM06

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Mortality data for 2004 is unavailable until spring 2006.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: OR 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: 14 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: OR FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Improve the health of children and families by increasing the percent of births that are intended.
- 2. Improve early child development and access to early intervention services by increasing the percent of infants diagnosed with hearing loss that are enrolled in early intervention before 6 months of age.
- 3. Improve access to well-child care by increasing the percent of children that complete the 4th DTaP vaccine between 12-18 months of age.
- 4. Reduce low birthweight and improve the health of women and their newborns by increasing the percent of pregnant women who quit smoking during pregnancy and continued quit after pregnancy.
- 5. Improve access to adolescent health care by decreasing the percent of 11th graders who report having unmet health care needs.
- 6. Improve health behaviors of adolescents by increasing the percent of adolescents (8th and 11th graders) who report 3 or more days of vigorous physical activity in the last 7 days.
- 7. Improve oral health by increasing the percent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system is optimally fluoridated.
- 8. Improve the care of children and youth with special health needs by increasing the percent of health care providers who report confidence in caring for CYSHN and their families
- 9. Improve access to health care for children and youth with special health needs by increasing the percent of families of CYSHN who report costs not covered by insurance were usually or always reasonable.
- 10. Improve access and availability of health care in rural areas by increasing the percent of families of CYSHN who reside in rural areas who report that needs are usually or always met.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: OR APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

017	TE. OK		ALLE	ICATION TEAK. 2000
No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Develop systems and processes for ongoing assessment, planning, and evaluation for the local MCH program contractors (county health departments)	To improve systems for aligning state contracting, planning, and assessment processes with MCH priorities	Consultants or faciliators skilled in MCH systems planning
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Facilitate joint strategic planning with state and local MCH partners to expand collaborations, set priorities	To improve capacity for participatory and collaborative decision-making and program planning	Consultants skilled in collaborative and participatory planning process
3.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 9	Training, consultation and technical assistance is needed to develop our knowledge and advocacy skills relative to health care financing, along with health care research and advocacy and benefits counseling skills.	As the services provided through the OSCSHN shift from direct care to building systems of care, it is important that we understand how most effectively to partner with public and private insurers and their funding mechanisms.	Technical assistance from Bobby Peterson. Attorney at ABC for Health
4.	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:4	Training, consultation and technical assistance is needed to develop our knowledge and advocacy skills relative to health care financing, along with health care research and advocacy and benefits counseling skills.	As the services provided through the OSCSHN shift from direct care to building systems of care, it is important that we understand how most effectively to partner with public and private insurers and their funding mechanisms.	Technical assistance from Bobby Peterson. Attorney at ABC for Health
5.	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:2	Technical Assistance is requested to learn about methods and strategies to build state and community teams through on-site training and consultation with our state and community level staff.	OSCSHN is integrating community-based programs at the state and community levels to further develop and promote health services and systems of care designed to eliminate disparities and barriers across the MCH population.	Technical assistance from Tawara D. Goode, MA at National Center for Cultural Competence
6.	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Technical assistance to develop adequate privacy policies and processes for use in programming data sharing information systems	to assure the state's FamilyNet client data system has appropriate technology and process for client record privacy	Technical experts in HIPAA and privacy issues
7.	Data-related Issues - Needs Assessment If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Develop skills and knowledge for program staff to create and manage program evaluation/continuous improvement systems to improve efficiency of MCH program design and delivery	To improve system capacity for program evaluation and quality	Consultants skilled in MCH continuous improvement systems development
8.	Data-related Issues - Performance Indicators If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assist MCH programs to integrate mental health with MCH priorities through development of program activities and strategies and performance measures	to improve the state's ability to monitor improvement in mental health status of MCH populations	Consultants skilled in program planning and integrated mental health/MCH program development
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National			

Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: OR

SP	#	1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnancies among women 15-44 that are intended

STATUS: Ac

GOAL Increase the number of pregnancies that are intended among women 15-44 years.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of abortions reported plus the estimated number of unintended pregnancies resulting in live births, the latter based on the number of women resporting to PRAMS that they wanted to be pregnant later or not at any time in the future, which the office according to the property of the property

weighted to reflect a percent of Oregon's population of women delivering live infants.

Denominator:

The number of live births registered plus the number of abortions reported.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

To track with other measures cited here, the proportion of intended pregnancies is estimated at 1- the proportion of unitended pregnancies is estimated at 1- the proportion of unitended pregnancies (action to descript the proportion of intended pregnancies). This measures were abanded to "intended" to track with the Healthy

unintended pregnancies (estimated as in the past). This measure was changed to "intended" to track with the Healthy People 2010 Objectives and the Oregon Benchmarks. For live births, intendedness is derived from self reports on the PRAMS survey (about 4 months post partum). Abortion data are reported to the OHD Center for Health Statistics. It is assumed that proportions would not change if intendedness of pregnancies resulting in fetal deaths or spontaneous

abortions were known and included.

SIGNIFICANCE The Institutes of Medicine report, "Best Intentions," published in 1995, indicates that unintended pregnancies are an

important indicator for the MCH status. The report states: "A woman with an unintended pregnancy is less likely to seek early prenatal care and more likely to expose the fetus to ... tobacco or alcohol. The child of an unwanted conception especially (as distinct from a mistimed one) is at greater risk of being born at low birthweight, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. The mother may be at greater risk of depression and of physical abuse herself, and her relationship with her partner is at greater risk of dissolution. Both

mother and father may suffer economic hardship and may fail to achieve their educational and career goals."

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who had live births who took folic acid most days in the month before becoming pregnant.

STATUS: Acti

GOAL Increase the prevalence of folic acid use among women prior to their becoming pregnant

DEFINITION xx

Numerator:

Number of women who reported having taken a multi-vitamin 1 to 7 days a week in the month before becoming pregnant.

Denominator:

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey item, weighted to represent Oregon's population of women delivering

live infants.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Folic acid use is estimated from responses to PRAMS, about 4 months post partum. About 79% of new mothers report

awareness that folic acid can help prevent some birth defects. Data have been weighted to represent all women giving birth

during the year. The Center also plans some further testing of reliability and genera;izability of the data.

Preconceptual folic acid use has been associated with a reduction in neural tube defects. Folic acid use may also be an

indicator of vitamin consumption prior to pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women reporting no tobacco use.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the number of women who use tobacco during pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:
Number of births where certificate showed the mother smoked one or more cigarettes during the pregnancy

Denominator:

Number of births recorded Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Currently, the only data analyzed are birth records, which are known to under-report the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy. The bias toward under-reporting may be increasing as awareness of the risks of cigarette use grows. PRAMS may provide less biased measures of tobacco use, but further analysis is needed. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Smoking during pregnancy is associated with fetal death, low birthweight, SIDS rate increase, and respiratory difficulties for **SIGNIFICANCE**

newborns. Smoking among pregnant women is associated with alcohol and other drug use, both of which are harmful to the

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children 0-4 who are observed riding in cars restrained in child safety seats.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the observed number of children aged 0-4 riding in cars restrained in child safety seats.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Children aged 0-4 that are observed to be riding in motor vehicles, appropriately restrained in safety seats.

Denominator:

US Census Bureau estimates of children aged 0-4

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data from the Oregon Occupant Protection Observation Study, Oregon Dept. of Transportation (Carla Levinski) Issues with this measure have to do with the incorrect use of safety seats. The observed use percentage does not address the need to work with parents on correct installation. Oregon's correct use percentage is also a problem that is addressed as part of the ongoing effort to provide parents opportunities through safety seat clinics to have their car seat installations evaluated and

receive training from certified technicians.

SIGNIFICANCE This measure is used to gage the effectiveness of Oregon's child restrain laws on parents' behavior. There is also a need

for work in enforcement priorities, health education priorities, and targeting for safety seat clinics and voucher program

efforts in the state of Oregon.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 8th graders who report not using cigarettes in the previous month

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the proportion of 8th graders free from smoking use during the previous month.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 8th graders reporting no cigarette use during the previous month.

Denominator:

Total number of 8th graders responding to questions about cigarette use.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The Youth Risk Behavior Survey, administered in odd-numbered years, and the Oregon Public School Drug Use Survey,

administered in even-numbered years, are sources of data on use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana during the prior 30 days by 8th graders. The middle school survey covers 6th, 7th and 8th graders. While 8th graders surveyed in one year may also be surveyed as a 10th or as a 12th grader, no longitudinal comparisons are possible because there is no identification of individual respondents. In 1997, the items concerning tobacco use were changed to be consistent Both surveys will allow us to measure trends in prevalence of tobacco use now that issues of item similarity and survey

representativeness appear to have been resolved.

SIGNIFICANCE Adolescent use of tobacco is strongly predictive of smoking during adulthood and associated with a wide range of other

physical, emotional, or behavioral risks. Initiation of tobacco use occurs regularly among youth aged 11 to 13 with half of all school-aged children who use tobacco reporting starting by the 8th grade. Youth often underestimate the addictive capacity of nicotine and may minimize the risk of tobacco use. However, 90% of all adults who smoke begin before the age of

twenty-one. Seventy-five per cent of adult Oregonians who use tobacco say they want to quit.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system is optimally fluoridated.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the number of Oregonians who live in a community with fluoridated water systems.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Population of communities ith natural or adjusted fluoride levels at 0.7 ppm or greater

Denominator:

Population with access to community water systems

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The Oregon Health Division, Drinking Water Section, provides yearly water fluoridation statistics for community systems **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

with natural or adjusted fluoride.

Community water fluoridation is a proven safe, effective and inexpensive way to prevent tooth decay ("caries"). Fluoride **SIGNIFICANCE**

works by stopping or even reversing the caries process, thus keeping the enamel strong and intact. By reducing or eliminating caries in infants and children, needless pain and infection is reduced, developmental growth is not impeded, social skills and esteem is enhanced, and the public burden in dental care financing is significantly decreased. Fluoride delivery through community water systems benefits all infants and children, regardless of socioeconomic status. Since 1990, less than one quarter of Oregon's population have had access to fluoridated water at the level of 0.7 parts per million

or more through community water systems.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of K-12 students with access to a State Certified school-based health center.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the proportion of studens with access to services at a certified school-based health center.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of students enrolled in public schools who have access to a State Certified school-based health center

Denominator:

Number of students enrolled in public schools

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Oregon Dept. of Education Annual Publication. Enrollment is as of June of each school year.

This measure is used to determine the number of students statewide who have access to the comprehensive preventive health, primary care and mental health services provided by a state certified SBHC. Adolescents historically are an medically underserved population and poor utilizers of preventive health services. SBHCs see a higher proportion of at-risk and or uninsured youth. SBHCs are an important safety net provider who have reduced barriers (financial, logistical, environmental, psychological) to seeking and receiving health care. SBHCs, as an access model, fill gaps in continuum of care, integrate service components (phyical & mental health) and provide important risk assessment and health education functions.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CSHCN in Oregon receiving appropriate care coordination services

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase access to appropriate care coordination services for CSHCN in Oregon.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of CSHCN through age 20 receiving care coordination services.

Denominator:

Number of CSHCN needing services.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The numerator is derived from Oregon State Children with Special Health Care Needs program data. These data identify

those individuals who are receiving appropriate care coordination services in Oregon. The denominator is derived by

calculating 10% of the CSHCN population under 21.

SIGNIFICANCE Families with children who have special health needs may use numerous complex health, education, vocational, and social

services in densely populated areas. In these areas, barriers to access tend to be knowledge of services (needed or available) and economic factors such as transportation, respite care, care for other family members, time off work, and the cost of services. In rural areas, physical geographic accessibility are added to these barriers. These services can be provided in the local community and at a nearby tertiary level center. Thus, care coordination services are an important component of the care for these children. Although service coordination is provided by many different professionals and agencies in Oregon, there are not enough resources to serve all families who need it. Increasing the opportunities for

families can lead to improved access to the comprehensive services they need.

Degree of participation in the collaborative effort of developing a statewide data system to support Oregon's early childhood **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

program needs.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Develop a statewide data system to support early childhood program needs.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of organizations represented at each meeting.

Denominator:

Number of organizations who agreed to participate in the process.

Units: 100 Text: Average Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The OHD data system, WCHDS, has been selected as the individual data system as well as housing the data warehouse **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

for the Early Childhood programs. CDRC staff are participating in the planning to establish this expanded system. CDRC and DHS-OFH staff have dedicated many hours to the planning and implementation of this system.

The Child Health Consultant in OFH is assigned to be a part of the Interagency Coordinating Team charged by the Governor to implement an Early Childhood System of Care in Oregon. She also represents CDRC on the team. **SIGNIFICANCE**

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of providers in Oregon participating in an educational experience addressing CSHCN.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Increase the percent of providers participating in an educational experience addressing CSHCN including diagnosis,

treatment, prevention issues and family centered care.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of providers who participated in at least one educational experience.

Denominator:

Number of providers in Title V supported programs serving CSHCN.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

 $Number\ of\ providers\ participating\ in\ education\ will\ be\ collected\ from\ the\ training\ programs\ delivered\ by\ CDRC\ Title\ V$

sponsored programs.

SIGNIFICANCE

The decentralization of services for CSHCN places children with providers who are not trained or familiar with their special needs. The expansion of Medicaid and commercial managed care is placing more CSHCN in a Medical Home in their community. The experience and training of these primary and ancillary care providers does not typically include services to this population. The role of Title V in this changing environment in Oregon includes training threse providers to increase their knowledge base in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention issues, and family centered care for CSHCN. The need for these children to have access to timely, appropriate specialty services and the benefits of the same will be emphasized in

these training sessions.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: OR

HEALTH SYSTEMS	CAPACITY	MEASURE # ()1
----------------	----------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	18.8	17.3	20.7	18.7	18.7		
Numerator	419	391	471	428			
Denominator	222,872	226,011	227,668	228,681			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
:	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	78.9	81.4	79.9	78.3	82.4		
Numerator	16,173	17,209	17,338	16,921	18,390		
Denominator	20,493	21,139	21,700	21,622	22,307		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		
Numerator	0	0	0	0	0		
Denominator	0	0	0	0	0		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	76.3	79.5	78.6	72.7	70.0	
Numerator	34,695	35,913	35,424	33,365	31,492	
Denominator	45,445	45,171	45,086	45,920	45,015	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07 The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year. **Annual Indicator Data** 2000 2001 2004 2002 2003 38.2 44.1 41.2 39.5 40.1 **Annual Indicator** 21,010 18,790 22,038 21,293 20,349 Numerator

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

49,251

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	37.0	8.5	13.0	7.0	1.3	
Numerator	2,099	578	931	526	98	
Denominator	5,680	6,800	7,160	7,508	7,508	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

51,629

Final

52,349

51,541

Final

49,938

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 will not be available until 2005.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 unavailable until spring 2006.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

1998 data unavailable.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

From the EPSDT 2003 Report, no denominator or numerator available.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Oregon SCHIP data are included in the data for Heatlh Systems Capacity Indicator #2

. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

No data is available for this measure because Oregon does not have a SCHIP program.

. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Oregon's SCHIP program is rolled into the Medicaid plan. See the Health Systems Capacity Measure #2.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Updated 2003 in 2006 report.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

1998 data unavailable.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data not available.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The denominator is the total number of children served by SSI in Oregon. The numerator results from a match between the lists of children served by the State CHSCN Program and the State SSI Roster.

This Measure was previously National Performance Measure #1. Note from the 2003 Block Grant Application:

2000 numerator: The number of SSI beneficiaries receiving services through OSCHCN is not available. The number is calculated by determining the percent of children on Medicaid who are coded as blind or disabled (SSI population) and applying this ratio to the CDRC Medicaid population. The FY 2000 numbers from OMAP varied greatly from FY 1999 figures; this difference accounts for the large increase in the percent of SSI beneficiaries reported as known to CDRC. CDRC has been working with the Regional SSA office and Oregon DDS staff to implement a process to share data that will provide more accurate information for measuring this performance.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 numerator: the number of SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years of age receiving rehabilitative services through the CDRC is not available. The number is calculated by determining the percent of children on Medicaid who are coded as blind or disabled (the SSI population) and applying that to the CDRC Medicaid population. 3.57% of children who are on the Oregon Health Plan (OHP) are coded 4,D4, 3 and D3 (the SSI population). The 2004 numerator is likely a large underestimate, however, since a significantly higher proportion of the children seen in the CDRC clinics will have OHP and SSI. We do propose to change this to a more meaningful measure for the next block grant report.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: OR

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity			POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	6.3	6	6.1	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	6.7	4.4	5.5	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	69.5	88.3	81	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	61.9	75.4		

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: OR

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	133_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	<u>185</u>

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: OR

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	133_
2004	133 100
2004	185_
	2004

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

Oregon does not have a SCHIP program separate from Medicaid.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Infant Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Oregon does not have a SCHIP program separate from Medicaid.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Children

Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Oregon does not have a SCHIP program separate from Medicaid.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Oregon does not have a SCHIP program separate from Medicaid.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: OR

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	2	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: OR

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group. 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: OR

Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	4.:
Denominator 45,677 45,318 45,190 45,935	4.:
Denominator 45,677 45,318 45,190 45,935 Provided	4.:
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Provisio	4.:
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	
Annual Indicator Annual Indicator Data	
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	
Annual Indicator 4.3 4.1 4.2 4.5 Numerator 1,897 1,835 1,882 2,017 Denominator 44,360 45,032 44,524 44,453 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provi EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A te percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator 1.0 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Numerator 444 437 472 466 Annual Indicator 1.0 444 437 472 466 Annual Indicator 1.0 444 437 4.1 4.2 4.5 Annual Indicator 1.0 444 4.3 4.3 4.2 4.5 Annual Indicator 1.0 4.3 4.5 Annual Indicator 1.0 4.3 4.5 Annual Indicator 1.0	
Numerator 1,897 1,835 1,882 2,017 Denominator 44,360 45,032 44,524 44,453 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A nee percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Numerator 444 437 472 466	
Denominator 44,360 45,032 44,524 44,453 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provisional Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 466 Numerator 444 437 472 466 466	ional
Sthe Data Provisional or Final Provisiona	ional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	ionai
Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator Data 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Numerator 444 437 437 472 466	
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Numerator 444 437 472 466	
Annual Indicator 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Numerator 444 437 472 466	
Numerator 444 437 472 466	
Denominator 45 677 45 032 45 190 45 935	
Definition 16,617 16,600	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provi	ional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B	
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	
Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	0.
	0.
Numerator 326 309 332 330	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	8.9	7.6	8.1	10.1	10.1
	63		58	73	74
	710,361	710,361	716,526	722,905	729,109
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
Appual Indicator	2000	2001 4.8	Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003	2004
	3.8			4.4	
	27			32	32
	710 261	710.061	746 506	722.005	720.400
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		710,361	716,526	722,905 Final	729,109 Provisional
		710,361	716,526		729,109 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C			ough 24 years.	Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor verification. Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 21.0	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator D	Final	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor verification. Annual Indicator	phicle crashes amon	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 21.0	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator December 2002 20.1	Final ata 2003	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C Be death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version of the company of the c	ehicle crashes amor	2001 21.0	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 20.1 98	Final ata 2003 25.4	Provisional 2004 25.

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among children age	ed 14 years and your	nger.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		31.8	26.4	31.0	31.0
Numerator	234	226	187	224	224
Denominator	710,361	710,361	707,326	722,905	722,905
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	148.0	143.9	114.8	152.3	152.3
Numerator	713	693	558	749	759
Denominato	481,750	481,750	486,063	491,787	498,42
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Provisional
FALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05 A					
	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	case of chlamydia.	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
	2000	2001 18.9	-		
ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported Annual Indicator	2000	18.9	2002	2003	16.5
ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported Annual Indicator	2000 18.5 2,216	18.9	2002 16.3	2003 16.5	2,030
he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 18.5 2,216 119,533	18.9 2,257	16.3 1,993	2003 16.5 2,030	2,030
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2 18.5 2,216 119,533	18.9 2,257	16.3 1,993 121,965	2003 16.5 2,030 123,273 Final	2,030 123,273
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2 18.5 2,216 119,533	18.9 2,257	16.3 1,993	2003 16.5 2,030 123,273 Final	2,030 123,273
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 18.5 2,216 119,533 case of chlamydia.	18.9 2,257 119,533	16.3 1,993 121,965	2003 16.5 2,030 123,273 Final	16.5 2,030 123,273 Provisional
Annual Indicator BEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported Annual Indicator	2000 18.5 2,216 119,533 case of chlamydia. 2000 4.6	2,257 119,533 2001 5.3	2002 16.3 1,993 121,965 Annual Indicator Da 2002 4.9	2003 16.5 2,030 123,273 Final	16.5 2,030 123,273 Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported	2000 18.5 2,216 119,533 case of chlamydia. 2000 4.6 2,775	2,257 119,533 2001 5.3	2002 16.3 1,993 121,965 Annual Indicator Da 2002 4.9	2003 16.5 2,030 123,273 Final	16.3 2,030 123,273 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Updated from 2005 Report.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data not yet available for 2004.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimated to be the same as in 2003.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Corrected denominator for 2001 & 2002.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimate based on 2004 population.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A

Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: 2004 Estimate

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B
Field Name: HSI05B
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2004
Field Note:
2004 Estimate

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	45,598	40,708	1,484	928	2,278	200		
Children 1 through 4	188,579	166,182	6,826	4,562	9,909	1,100		
Children 5 through 9	242,722	211,994	9,418	7,222	12,257	1,831		
Children 10 through 14	258,705	228,668	8,761	8,393	11,065	1,818		
Children 15 through 19	254,157	225,736	7,335	8,363	11,031	1,692		
Children 20 through 24	257,071	227,728	6,978	7,531	12,740	2,094		
Children 0 through 24	1,246,832	1,101,016	40,802	36,999	59,280	8,735	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	37,629	7,969	
Children 1 through 4	155,627	32,952	
Children 5 through 9	204,583	38,139	
Children 10 through 14	226,339	32,366	
Children 15 through 19	226,700	27,457	
Children 20 through 24	221,839	35,232	
Children 0 through 24	1,072,717	174,115	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	53	40	4	4	1	0		4
Women 15 through 17	1,158	1,040	44	46	17	4		7
Women 18 through 19	2,766	2,488	107	104	49	9		9
Women 20 through 34	34,889	31,376	774	625	1,761	173		180
Women 35 or older	6,082	5,402	104	73	430	37		36
Women of all ages	44,948	40,346	1,033	852	2,258	223	0	236

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	25	28	0
Women 15 through 17	721	433	4
Women 18 through 19	2,016	743	7
Women 20 through 34	28,062	6,698	129
Women 35 or older	5,290	769	23
Women of all ages	36,114	8,671	163

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	244	214	12	9	8	0	0	1
Children 1 through 4	34	31	2	1	0	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	36	31	0	3	1	0	0	1
Children 10 through 14	28	23	2	1	2	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	67	55	3	4	3	0	0	2
Children 20 through 24	205	189	7	7	2	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	614	543	26	25	16	0	0	4

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	203	41	0	
Children 1 through 4	27	7	0	
Children 5 through 9	28	8	0	
Children 10 through 14	23	5	0	
Children 15 through 19	59	7	1	
Children 20 through 24	167	36	2	
Children 0 through 24	507	104	3	

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	989,761	873,288.0	33,824.0	29,468.0	46,540.0	6,641.0			2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	27.3								2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	5.9	4.2	18.0	5.4	2.4	1.9		9.0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	229,728	192,361.0	15,298.0	8,579.0	6,974.0	461.0		6,055.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								2004
Number living in foster home care	12,672	7,672.0	909.0	1,031.0	89.0	33.0		2,938.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	273,845	190,503.0	14,729.0	6,192.0	5,572.0	428.0		56,421.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	50,180	42,214.0	2,746.0	1,426.0	1,973.0	466.0		1,355.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,020.0	4,175.0	4,389.0	3,017.0	939.0				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	4.5	3.7	8.0	5.4	3.3			9.2	2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	850,878.0	138,610.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	27.3	27.3	27.3	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.6	9.0		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	223,673.0	77,381.0	6,055.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0	0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	9,734.0	1,352.0	1,585.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	217,424.0	54,051.0	2,370.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	48,633.0	30,640.0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	4,271.0	2,545.0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.9	9.6	0	2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a	State Projection? Ves	Is this data final or provisional? Final

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL		
Living in metropolitan areas	0		
Living in urban areas	649,438		
Living in rural areas	367,955		
Living in frontier areas	0		
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,017,393		

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: OR

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	3,582,600.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.3
100% of poverty	12.5
200% of poverty	30.2

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: OR

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? Yes Is this data final or provisional? Final

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	883,998.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	7.7
100% of poverty	20.1
200% of poverty	39.8

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

#10 Population data by geographic area includes ages 0 - 20. Rural is defined as any area more than 10 miles from a population center of 30,000 or more. Urban is defined as not rural

#12 Poverty projections not available by age 0 - 19. Listed for age 0 - 17.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06_Race_Children1to4
 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06_Race_Children5to9
 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children10to14 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

. Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children15to19 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children20to24 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Does not include people of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_Children
 Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Reported for 2003 because no 2004 data available.

8. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Unavailable for 2004. Estimate from 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Used 2003 race estimates as denominator for percentages.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_MedicaidNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Other and undeclared includes multiple races.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_SCHIPNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Oregon's SCHIP program is integrated within the Oregon Health Plan and not separate from Medicaid.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_WICNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Reported for 2005.

13. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Reported for 2003 age 0 - 17 because 2004 data unavailble. Whites include all Hispanics since Hispanics are listed as a race, not an ethnicity, but population data calculates ethnicity separately.

14. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The 'Asian' category includes Pacific Islanders and the category 'Other and Unkown' includes Hispanics and Unkowns.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity_Children
 Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Reported for 2003 since 2004 data was unavailable.

16. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Unavailable for 2004. Estimate from total population 2003.

17. Section Number: Indicator 09B
Field Name: HSIEthnicity_TANFPercent

Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Used 2003 race/ethnicity estimates as denominator.

18. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Oregon's does not have a SCHIP program separate from Medicaid.

 Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Reported for 2005.

20. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of arrests were reported by race (including Hispanic as a race.)

Denominator of Non-Hispanics: Calculated by subtracting total number of hispanic juveniles from all races.

21. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The population in urban areas includes ages 0 - 20.

22. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The population projected in rural areas includes ages 0 - 20.

23. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11 total

Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Population projection for 2004.

24. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This estimate is the national estimate from the 2004 Current Population Survey, Social and Economic Supplement produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. It estimates the percent of the national population living in a household where the income level is below 50% of poverty.

25. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2003 Household Income.

26. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2003 income.

27. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Projection of children age 0 - 17 to correspond with percentages of children in poverty available for population 0 - 17, but not 0 - 19.

28. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This estimate is the national estimate from the 2004 Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. It estimates the percent of children under age 19 living in a household below 50% of poverty.

29. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Reported for 2003 since 2004 data was unavailable.

30. Section Number: Indicator 09B **Field Name:** HSIEthnicity_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Reported for 2003 since 2004 data was unavailable.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: OR

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of births that are intended

GOAL

Increase the number of births that are intended.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women reporting to PRAMS that they wanted to be pregnant later or not at any time in the future, weighted to

reflect a percent of the Oregon population of resident women delivering live infants in Oregon.

Denominator:

Number of Oregon resident women delivering live infants in Oregon during the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-6 Increase the proportion of pregnant women who receive early and adequate prenatal care.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

This measure was changed from intended "pregnancies" to intended "births for clarity. Intendedness is derived from self

reports on the PRAMS survey (about 4 months post partum). PRAMS only includes women that had a live birth.

SIGNIFICANCE

The Institutes of Medicine report, "Best Intentions," published in 1995, indicates that unintended pregnancies are an important indicator for the MCH status. The report states: "A woman with an unintended pregnancy is less likely to seek early prenatal care and more likely to expose the fetus to ... tobacco or alcohol. The child of an unwanted conception especially (as distinct from a mistimed one) is at greater risk of being born at low birthweight, of dying in its first year of life, of being abused, and of not receiving sufficient resources for healthy development. The mother may be at greater risk of

depression and of physical abuse herself, and her relationship with her partner is at greater risk of dissolution. Both mother and father may suffer economic hardship and may fail to achieve their educational and career goals."

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of smoking women who quit smoking during their pregnancy and did not begin smoking postpartum. Increase the percent of women who quit smoking during their pregnancy and not begin smoking postpartum.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Among women that smoked during the three months prior to pregnancy, the number of women who responded to PRAMS

that they did not smoke during the last 3 months of pregnancy and are not currently smoking.

Denominator:

Number of women who responded to PRAMS that they smoked during the 3 months before pregnancy.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

16-17 Increase abstinence from alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs among pregnant women. Goal 27: Reduce illness,

disability, and death related to tobacco use and exposure to secondhand smoke.

PRAMS is the only source currently available to measure the prevalence of whether or not women are quitting smoking **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** during their pregnancy. PRAMS only includes women who have a live birth, therefore, excluding the population of pregnancies that end prior to birth and may be affected by tobacco use.

Smoking during pregnancy is associated with fetal death, low birthweight, SIDS rate increase, and respiratory difficulties for **SIGNIFICANCE** newborns. Smoking among pregnant women is associated with alcohol and other drug use, both of which are harmful to the

fetus. Quitting smoking during pregnancy has been shown to improve overall birth outcomes. Oregon programs have recently begun using the ACOG recommended Five A's smoking cessation protocol for pregnant women. It has shown effectiveness in intervention and increasing the confidence of the nurses administering it. As a result this model has been

incorporated throughout additional statewide programs.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of infants diagnosed with hearing loss that are enrolled or in Early Intervention before 6 months of age.

 $Increase\ the\ percentage\ of\ infants\ diagnosed\ with\ hearing\ loss\ that\ are\ enrolled\ in\ Early\ Intervention\ before\ 6\ months\ of$

age.

DEFINITION

GOAL

Numerator:

Number of infants that were diagnosed by an audiologist with a hearing loss (any type, any degree) by three months of age

that were enrolled in Early Intervention before 6 months of age.

Denominator:

Number of infants that are diagnosed by an audiologist with a hearing loss (any type, any degree) by three months of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

28-11 (Developmental) Increase the proportion of newborns who are screened for hearing loss by age 1 month, have

audiologic evaluation by age 3 months, and are enrolled in appropriate intervention services by age 6 months.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The data will be measured using the Oregon EHDI database system, which includes 93% of all occurrence births. Source

does not currently incorporate all screenings from non-hospital based facilities and home births.

"The first six months of life are a critical time to develop communication skills. Studies have shown that babies with hearing

loss, who receive early intervention services before six months of age, have significantly improved outcomes in

communications skills."

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children that complete the 4th DTaP vaccine by two years of age.

GOAL Increase the percent of children that complete the 4th DTaP vaccine by two years of age.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of children age 19-35 months that have received a 4th DTaP vaccine for a given calendar year, weighted to reflect a percent of the Oregon population of children age 19-35 months.

Denominator:

The number of children age 19-35 months that responded to the NIS survey from the state of Oregon for a given calendar year, weighted to reflect the Oregon population of children age 19-35 months.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

14-22 Achieve and maintain effective vaccination coverage levels for universally recommended vaccines among young children. Specifically, 14-22a focused on 4 doses diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis (DTaP) vaccine with a 2010 target of 90% coverage.

14-24 Increase the proportion of young children and adolescents who receive all vaccines that have been recommended for universal administration for at least 5 years. HP 2010 objective 14-24a focuses on children age 19-35 months who receive the recommended vaccines (4DTaP, 3 polio, 1MMR, 3 Hib, 3 hep B) and has a target of 80% coverage by 2010.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The National Immunization Survey determines an estimate of the number of children age 19-35 months in Oregon that have completed their 4th DTaP vaccine. This data source offers a continual measure, however, its sample of Oregon children is limited (500+/-). The Oregon ALERT registry also tracks vaccine coverage for Oregon residents and may become the source of this data in the future.

SIGNIFICANCE

Maintenance of high vaccination coverage levels in early childhood is the best way to prevent the spread of VPDs in childhood and to provide the foundation for controlling VPDs among adults. Completion of the 4th DTaP by age 2 is also a good indication of children receiving all the necessary childhood immunizations and well-child visits.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of 8th graders who report doing 3 or more days of vigorous activity in the last 7 days.

GOAL

DEFINITION

To increase the percentage of 8th graders who vigorously exercise at least 3 days a week.

Numerator:

The number of 8th graders who report doing 3 or more days of physical activity for at least 20 minutes that made them

sweat or breathe hard in the last 7 days.

Denominator:

The number of 8th graders that responded to the question regarding how many days they exercised or participated in

physical activity for at least 20 minutes that made them sweat or breathe hard.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

19-3 Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Oregon Healthy Teens survey will be used as the source of this performance measure. The survey includes a question regarding the number of days that each student has "exercised or participated in physical activity for at least 20 minutes that made them sweat or breathe hard" in the past 7 days. Unfortunately this doesn't specifically measure whether or not the person met the daily recommendations for physical activity. Also, the correlation to how students respond to an additional OHT question regarding how many days in the last 7 days the respondent participated in "activity that did not make him/her

sweat or breathe hard, for 30 minutes" is unknown.

SIGNIFICANCE

The prevalence of health risks, including reduction in quality of life and life expectancy, increase with weight. There is much concern about the increasing prevalence of obesity in children and adolescents. Developing patterns of healthful behavior and maintaining those patterns through adulthood can help to reduce weight and, in turn, health risks. Healthy People 2010 reports that "The reduction of the BMI in children and adolescents should be achieved by emphasizing physical activity and

a properly balanced diet so that healthy growth is maintained."

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 11th graders who report having unmet health care needs.

GOAL Decrease the percentage of 11th graders that report having unmet health care needs.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 11th graders that responded to the OHT survey they did not have any unmet health care needs in the past 12

months.

Denominator:

Number of 11th graders that responded to the question regarding unmet health care needs in the OHT survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Goal 1: Improve access to comprehensive, high-quality health care services.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Oregon Healthy Teens survey will be used as the source of this performance measure. The survey includes a question regarding the type of health care need for which respondent's needs were unmet or that the respondent did not have any unmet health care needs over the past 12months. Access to some health care options, including Medicaid and school based health centers, are affected by the legislative budget, which cannot be accounted for by the data source.

SIGNIFICANCE

Improving access to quality care must be improved to realize the full potential of prevention. Due to budget constraints many of the healthcare options available to adolescents in the past are no longer available and there has been an increase the in the proportion of 11th graders with unmet health care needs. Oregon OFH Adolescent Health Program provides services to Oregon students through their school-based health centers and facilitates a coordinated school health coalition to support increased health care services to adolescents and youth. This measure is a proxy to evaluate the access to health care

services for the adolescent population.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Oregonians living in a community where the water system is optimally fluoridated.

GOAL Increase the number of Oregonians who live in a community with fluoridated water systems.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Population of communities ith natural or adjusted fluoride levels at 0.7 ppm or greater

Denominator

Population with access to community water systems

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

21-9 Increase the proportion of the U.S. population served by community water systems with optimally fluoridated water.

The national target by 2010 is 75%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The Oregon Health Division, Drinking Water Section, provides yearly water fluoridation statistics for community systems

with natural or adjusted fluoride.

SIGNIFICANCE Community water fluoridation is a proven safe, effective and inexpensive way to prevent tooth decay ("caries"). Fluoride

works by stopping or even reversing the caries process, thus keeping the enamel strong and intact. By reducing or eliminating caries in infants and children, needless pain and infection is reduced, developmental growth is not impeded, social skills and esteem is enhanced, and the public burden in dental care financing is significantly decreased. Fluoride delivery through community water systems benefits all infants and children, regardless of socioeconomic status. Since 1990, less than one quarter of Oregon's population has had access to fluoridated water at the level of 0.7 parts per million or more through community water systems. This has become an area of focus for the Oregon legislature recently and we

hope to see change soon.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of health care providers who report confidence in caring for CYSHN and their families

Increase the number of CYSHN and their families who receive comprehensive services through an integrated system of GOAL

care in their local community

DEFINITION

Numerator:

the number of providers who report confidence in care of CYSHN

Denominator:

The total number of Oregon primary care providers surveyed

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

None

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Number of health care providers who participate in Title V sponsored training activities. Other Data Sources to be

Monitored: Survey of Parents and Youth Visiting Primary Care Offices, Survey of Oregon Primary Care Physicians, Survey

of Community Providers

The decentralization of services for CYSHN places children with providers who are not necessarily trained or familiar with **SIGNIFICANCE** their special needs. The expansion of Medicaid and commercial managed care is placing more CYSHN in a medical home in their community. The experience and training of these primary and ancillary care providers does not typically include

services to this population. Confidence reflects applying appropriate skills and knowledge and also establishing community partnerships in caring for CYSHN and their families. The necessary skills and knowledge include diagnosis, treatment and prevention, timely referral to specialists, adoption of family-centered care and building appropriate community partnerships.

A number of adult health care providers, and health care and other providers in rural communities lack the necessary

training and supports to provide comprehensive care in the local community.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of families of CYSHN who report costs not covered by insurance were usually or always reasonable.

Increase the number of families of CYSHN who receive comprehensive services in their local community including services GOAL

not traditionally covered by public or private insurance

DEFINITION

Numerator:

number of parents who report costs not covered by insurance were usually or always reasonable

Denominator:

total number of families surveyed

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

None

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (SLAITS) Other Data Sources To be Monitored: Survey of parents and youth attending primary care offices, Survey of Primary Care Physicians, Survey of Community Providers, Comparison of the Reports of Rural vs. Urban Families and Providers

Families of CYSHN depend on a variety of services that are not reimbursed or poorly reimbursed by public and private **SIGNIFICANCE**

insurance. For example, these services include care coordination, child care and respite, parent-to-parent support and

transportation.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of families of CYSHN who reside in rural areas report that needs are usually or always met.

GOAL Increase the number of CYSHN and their families who receive comprehensive care in rural communities

DEFINITION

Numerator:

number of parents who report their needs are usually or always met on surveys

Denominator:

total number of parents who are surveyed

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

None

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

National Survey of Children with Special Health Needs (SLAITS) Other Data Sources to be Monitored: Survey of parents

and youth attending primary care offices, Survey of Primary Care Physicians, Survey

Families of CYSHN who reside in rural Oregon report more difficulty accessing information about services and limited **SIGNIFICANCE**

access to certain health care services, particularly dental and mental health services. In addition, they of ten travel a great distance to obtain care and experience higher out-of-pocket costs for care.

OBJECTIVE